

1
2
3
4
5
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
8 AT SEATTLE

9 KIMBERLY YOUNG, *et al.*,

10 Plaintiffs,

11 v.

12 REGENCE BLUESHIELD, *et al.*,

13 Defendants.

Case No. C07-2008RSL


ORDER REQUESTING
RESPONSE TO MOTION
FOR RECONSIDERATION

14
15 This matter comes before the Court on the plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration of
16 the Court's September 2, 2008 order regarding the parties' cross motions for summary
17 judgment (Dkt. #55, the "Order"). Plaintiffs argue that the Court erred in finding that
18 plaintiffs lacked standing to pursue their state law claims because Regence tendered
19 payment before they filed suit. Plaintiffs have submitted new evidence in support of their
20 motion that they contend was not available previously.

21 Accordingly, the Court requests that defendant Regence Blueshield file a response
22 to plaintiffs' motion for reconsideration no later than October 3, 2008. The response
23 must not exceed twelve pages in length. No further briefing on the issue will be
24 accepted.

1 The Clerk of the Court is directed to renote the motion for reconsideration (Dkt.
2 #59) on the Court's calendar for October 3, 2008.

3
4 DATED this 16th day of September, 2008.

5
6 
7 Robert S. Lasnik
8 United States District Judge
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25